

**Adult Care and Support Scrutiny Committee
Suggestions from the Scrutiny Assembly Workshop**

Priority	What would you like scrutiny to look at?	Why should scrutiny look at this issue?	Votes
A	Under the proposed changes in the recently published papers on the NHS we need to monitor the way commissioning services will be set up and run under GPs rather than the PCT and how this fits in with commissioning of services from Telford & Wrekin for adult care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will impact the whole borough and is a fundamental change which needs to be scrutinised • Needs to be a wide review re. NHS structures • Regular updates from the PCT 	11
A	Young adult respite care. There are plenty of facilities for children and elderly people who require respite, but a shortage of facilities for young adults. My father-in-law is 50 and has to go to Oswestry for respite care. *scrutiny review of respite care in general	It is wrong that someone living in Telford should have to go to Oswestry for respite. There should be adequate provision in the borough, especially when Telford has the largest population in the county. Too much emphasis is clearly being placed on the young and elderly who require respite when there is a need for more respite for young adults.	5
A	Growing aging population of the borough Extra Care Provision. Target of 500 beds. Are we on track to fulfil this aim and is this the target we should be aiming for, should the figure be increased or reduced or stay the same with flexibility built in. *briefing needed, short review	The growing aging population of the borough and the need to be able to make adequate provision. Again this is a borough wide matter.	4
A	Re-assessment of care needs. How often are re-assessments made and Care packages tailored to meet new needs; this may be an increase in provision of care reduction possibly from re-	We need to ensure that the right care packages remain in place but adjust them to increase or decrease the package according to the individual's changing circumstances	2

	abling programmes *presentation to scrutiny members		
A	<p>Monitor how the recommendations in the recent CQC Inspection report on:</p> <p>a) Safeguarding adults b) Increased choice and control for older people</p> <p>are carried out. This to include the use made of direct payments to ensure that the care needed is provided and the money is not frittered away on other things leaving the basic care needs without funding.</p> <p>*Covered in Cabinet report 10/8, regular monitoring by scrutiny needed</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection of vulnerable people and wise use of the limited funds that are available • Protecting the interests of local people boroughwide 	0
C	<p>Choose Your Home and how it works in relation to people with disabilities and liaises with other organisations to help solve problems and issues that arise.</p>	<p>I have been on the list of Choose Your Home since 2007. Initially I was classed as needing but in February 2009 I was classed as urgent. This banding change also changed the date of my entry on the Choose Your Home scheme. It was through P.C.A.S. (Peer Counselling Advisory Service) that I was made up to the urgent band. Since then I have had issues with advertisements on Choose Your Home being incorrect and instead of this being stated I have been labelled as "Not suitable to Advert Criteria". This is causing myself and others in my position frustration, anger and to be honest I feel discriminated against due to the fact I have some disabilities.</p>	0

		If this issue could be looked at under a scrutiny review then relevant bodies could liaise with each other to resolve issues like the above being resolved, quickly and amicably to all concerned.	
C	More support needed for alternative formats of information (Putting People First) with hard to reach older people, travellers, for people with disabilities i.e. large print, audio systems, signers, easy read. * Yes, but pass to Health Scrutiny Committee as linked to Putting People First information	Often people can't read their appointment letters, leading to a number of missed appointments. This has been raised by the Senior Citizens' Forum, health visitors, PALS.	0
C	Putting People First information to potential users and response to feedback does not appear to be working. * Premature – invite scrutiny members to future event	People don't seem to understand the information that they are given.	0
C	Travellers at Lodge Road site in Donnington is in poor condition and needs maintenance (pot holes etc, not all caused by travellers). Is it possible to have a building on the site so that the travellers can receive information and hold appointments with health visitors, PALS, CAB, CVS as currently there is nowhere to meet privately. *Recognised issue but limited funding	Is it possible to have a building on the site so that the travellers can receive information and hold appointments with health visitors, PALS, CAB, CVS as currently there is nowhere to meet privately.	0
C	Non payment of "top-up fees". Is this a big issue for the Council ; what measures are in place to	This is even more important in theses cash-strapped times. Any reduction in the amount that	0

	<p>prevent this happening; how can the Council get its money back *Regular monitoring undertaken therefore low priority for scrutiny</p>	<p>the Council has to pay will benefit all Council tax payers.</p>	
--	---	--	--