SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT BOARD

Minutes of the meeting of the Scrutiny Management Board held on Friday, 16th May 2014 at 1.30pm in Meeting Room 7, Darby House, Telford

PRESENT: Cllrs. D. White (Chair), M. Ion, A. McClements, S. Reynolds, C. Turley

<u>IN ATTENDANCE:</u> Cllr. R. Overton, Deputy Leader with responsibility for Public Health & Public Protection and Chair of the Health & Wellbeing Board; Cllr. K. Austin, representative on the Police & Crime Panel; L. Noakes, Assistant Director, Health & Wellbeing; C. Jones, Assistant Director Family & Cohesion Services; J. Bedesha, Cohesion Manager; F. Bottrill, Scrutiny Group Specialist; S. Jones, Scrutiny Officer.

SMB-22 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

<u>RESOLVED</u> – that the minutes of the meeting of the Scrutiny Management Board held on the 14th March 2014 be confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

SMB-23 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Cllr. S. Bentley, V. Fletcher, R. Evans

SMB-24 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None

SMB-25 HOLDING THE EXECUTIVE TO ACCOUNT

The Chair welcomed Cllr. Overton to the meeting in his capacity as Deputy Leader with Cabinet responsibility for Public Health and Public Protection, and as Chair of the Health & Wellbeing Board. The Chair invited him to summarise for the Board what he felt were the key challenges in his areas of responsibility, highlighting any areas where scrutiny could add value.

Cllr. Overton made the following remarks:

- His Cabinet responsibilities included amongst other things health and wellbeing, public health, environmental health, land stability in the Gorge, trading standards, health and safety, licensing, drainage and flood management.
- He was also Chair of the Health & Wellbeing Board. The Board had been meeting formally for over 12 months and received all reports relating to

delivery of the Health & Wellbeing Strategy. The Better Care Fund had been signed-off and was a positive step forward. There had been development sessions and it had been agreed that the Board would hold fewer meetings and refocus its role on strategic issues to drive forward integration and transformation. The structure of the partnership boards — Community Safety, Children & Young People, Better Care Programme Management — had been reviewed and a new Living Well Board would take on the public health agenda and feed into the Board. The Commissioning & Transformation Board sat across all the partnership boards and was currently mapping money spent on services across the borough (the Telford £). Key issues were to look at the implications of the Care Bill on local priorities which scrutiny may also want to look at. They needed to know what difference the Board was making to people's lives and Cllr. Overton thought the Board was doing well.

- In terms of Public Protection priorities being a "business winning, business supporting" Council, work had been done with food businesses on inspections of hygiene standards for new outlets in Southwater, trading standards were looking at licences for scrap dealers and had been active in seizing counterfeit goods. A big challenge was the need to make savings and the restructure in Public Protection had helped to make savings. Licensing was a key area and taxi licensing was a key issue in this area. A lot of taxis were licensed by Shropshire and Telford & Wrekin did not have a right of inspection or collect fees for enforcement over these taxis. The Council received a lot of complaints about them but could not do anything except refer complaints to Shropshire. Shropshire had reduced staffing levels and took the fees but did not seem to do much enforcement.
- Responsibility for Public Health had transferred from the NHS to the Council 12 months ago. The grant was being used to commission new services and to fund wider Council services such as the Telford Loyalty Card and Community Pride Fund which met the "Five Ways to Wellbeing". The Community Trust is the provider organisation for smoking cessation and maternity and sexual health services there had been some challenges with the sexual health service which were being worked through with the provider. Cllr. Overton said everyone was affected by health issues at some time in their life and it was good that public health had come over to the Council.

There was then discussion and questions on a number of points:

• The Chair said the public health grant provided an opportunity to look at joint working across Council services and with other organisations, but he said that with money comes pressure and obligation: he asked if Cllr. Overton thought the Council was going to do things properly and well enough. Cllr. Overton said there was a separate grant for public health and it was about commissioning and monitoring. The public health grant needed to deliver 5 outcomes in addition to other commissioned services and would be monitored so that if targets were not being met they could be addressed. He said it was about delivering outcomes by working through other Council services. The Public Health team had moved into the Council and settled

in well and a post had been funded in PR to get public health messages out to the public. The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Cllr. Arnold England, had supported this. The Drug and Alcohol Strategy had come to the Health & Wellbeing Board and Cabinet after being asked for by scrutiny for a long time and they would need to ensure this was working well.

- Cllr. McClements asked what the public health outcomes were. The AD Health & Wellbeing explained that these were the 5 ways to wellbeing they had become widely accepted as key messages of what people needed to do in everyday life to enjoy a healthy life, healthy relationships etc. The five messages were: Connect, Be Active, Take Notice, Keep Learning and, Give. These outcomes are about wellbeing so cover learning and work and being better connected with communities. Cllr. Ion said they echoed Every Child Matters and the AD said they were similar. The AD for Health and Wellbeing said the 5 ways to wellbeing message was also similar to the 5 a day message. Cllr. Overton said that initiatives funded though the public health grant e.g. the Community Pride Fund needed to deliver against the Ways to Wellbeing messages but also needed to deliver on health outcomes.
- The Chair raised the issue of childhood obesity and said he was concerned that funding had been cut for teaching parents to cook lean and tasty meals with their children which he said had been very successful. The AD Health & Wellbeing said that the funding had not been cut but there had been a change of tack - health trainers worked with parents and families in a more holistic way, there was a Cooking Bus which incorporated cooking and budgeting skills, child weight reduction services had been brought back in-house under the Family, Cohesion & Commissioning Service and were delivered in the children's centres - all of this meant they could think more broadly than one individual programme. The Chair said it would be interesting to see how this would go. Cllr. Overton said significant progress had been made with children aged 4-5. The Chair said it was important that messages get back into households because some children learn about healthy eating at school but are fed unhealthy food when they get home. Cllr. McClements said she had heard a similar concern from a primary school teacher.
- The Chair asked how Cllr. Overton was making connections with partners on the Health & Wellbeing Board and whether he felt they were listening there were huge changes ahead and pressure on budgets which would make it difficult to come together as a Board. Cllr. Overton said there were a number of partners on the Board the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG), NHS England, HealthWatch and on the partnership boards. The Community Safety Partnership would become better integrated and the Better Care Fund Board was all about closer integration and the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care was involved with the Better Care Board. He felt there was a close relationship with the CCG but they had disagreed at the Health & Wellbeing Board about Future Fit because the Council could not endorse one major trauma unit. He said the CCG understood the Council's position and we had to work with them as commissioners. There had been an issue with some lost funding from the hospital trust and

- Cllr. Overton said hospital services needed to be protected so they were not downgraded or taken over by another trust or CCG.
- Cllr. Ion said he understood the strategic view but the myriad of structures
 was confusing and he wanted to know if activities could be broken down by
 ward. Cllr. Overton agreed it was complicated for example with the CCG
 and NHS England both commissioning services but some information was
 broken down be ward. The overriding priority was to get people into work
 so they could afford to make better choices about healthy lifestyles.
- Cllr. Ion asked how the progress in reducing obesity in 4-5 year olds referred to by Cllr. Overton was measured and whether it was based on take-up or outcomes. The AD Health & Wellbeing said child weight management was part of school health surveillance and children were measured by the school nurse in reception and again in the last year of primary school to monitor the outcomes so data goes down to a very local level. Cllr. Ion said a lot of public money seemed to be spent on monitoring and he wanted to know how we know what is working. He asked if there wan information on the outcomes by ward? The AD Health & Wellbeing said child weight management had been brought back in-house under the Family, Cohesion & Commissioning service and was delivered from the children's centres. It worked by working with parents in the centres and monitoring individual children. She did not have information of the outcomes at an areas level available at the meeting. The AD Family, Cohesion & Commissioning Services said that the service had only just been brought in-house and it was too early to report outcomes. The Chair remarked that money was a key issue and children living in poverty were more likely to have poor food. Cllr. Ion said they should not be spending money monitoring what other organisations were doing but should develop systems to monitor our own services. The AD Family, Cohesion & Commissioning Services said this was happening but the child weight management service had only been back in-house for 2-3 weeks and they had historic data but it was too early to say how it was working. The AD Health & Wellbeing said that smoking cessation is benchmarked and monitored on an individual level.
- Cllr. McClements asked about the role of elected members and how they
 could help get the message out in their wards. Cllr. Overton said members
 could all help with health promotion if they wanted to and there were lots of
 opportunities for them to promote such as the Telford Loyalty Card which
 provides discounted access to leisure and fitness facilities and a lot of
 activities in different wards such as football, job clubs etc. The Job Junctions
 were working well and were part of being a business winning Council.
- Cllr. Ion said that the Children & Families Population Profile which had come
 to the Children & Young People Scrutiny Committee was good but primary
 head teachers had not been aware of it and had thought they would find it
 useful. He suggested the profile should be expanded to include ward data
 including the impact of programmes which would inform local services and
 be shared with ward members. The AD Family, Cohesion &
 Commissioning Services said data in the profile was broken down to family
 centre areas and some measures were broken down by ward to inform

services for example pages 7 and 8 of the Draft Domestic Abuse Plan showed incidents of reported domestic abuse by ward. Cllr Overton said public health outcomes were collected for the borough and could be provided to the Board.

- The Chair asked about the cost of enforcement for clamping down on people selling counterfeit goods and whether there were enough enforcement officers on the streets at weekends to make a difference. Cllr. Overton said they needed to take dangerous and harmful goods like cigarettes and alcohol off the street but it was not possible to do everything when budgets were being cut and they had to make difficult choices do you fund a care package or enforcement? The Council needed to support businesses and they rely on the Council to enforce. Clothes businesses could help fund enforcement.
- The Chair asked Cllr. Overton what his top three areas of concern were. Cllr. Overton responded that:

The Gorge - was a concern as the potential cost of stabilising the land and ensuring the bridge is not damaged any further is potentially immense.

Health Economy – the Council is working with the CCG through the Better Care Fund and through discussions about the future of hospital services as part of Future Fit. Change could be difficult as nobody likes change. The options for the future of hospital services would be published in the Autumn and the consultation would be carried out after the elections in May 2015. It was highlighted that additional funding for this work has not been agreed and the question was asked 'where would it come from?'The Chair commented that there needed to be a joined up vision setting out the future of the local health system so that the argument can be made for further investment. This also involved the services provided by the Community Trust. The Community health services in Telford were essential especially as Telford does not have community hospitals. Cllr. Overton said it would be nice to have one voice but said that distance was an issue that would always divide and that Powys should address the health service issues for their patients. The Chair added that if services are lost from Telford some patients may choose to travel further east to Wolverhampton. Cllr. Overton said that Health Scrutiny was doing a good job.

Commissioning - Cllr. Overton said that commissioning was another area of concern if budgets are cut and cut eventually services will be lost. The Chair said that was why it was important to develop community and voluntary groups because we will not be able to do everything and the NHS must be part of it. Cllr. Overton said that volunteers were important. Social isolation can lead to problems and the buddy scheme run by the RVS or the Red Cross was good because vulnerable people are visited at home. He said the volunteers were doing a good job and were stopping people going down hill. The volunteers needed to be vigilant to pick up problems and refer them to the right council service – to fix a blocked drain for example – so that every contact counts. The Chair agreed the buddy system was excellent and it worked two ways by providing companionship

and also saving money. He said it needed careful handling but it worked and the scheme should be developed further.

When there were no further questions the Chair thanked Cllr. Overton for attending and he left the meeting with the AD Health & Wellbeing.

The Scrutiny Group Specialist said all Cabinet members had attended a Holding to Account session as part of the 2012-2014 work programme and the Board should now consider whether they wished to continue the sessions in the next work programme. The Chair said the sessions should continue but as there were not enough meetings in the year to call all Cabinet members back, they would need to be selective. He suggested the Cabinet member for Adult Social Care should attend a meeting because adult care was a priority for scrutiny. He deferred further discussion until the informal meeting of the Board on 5th June.

SMB-26 COMMUNITY SAFETY UPDATE

The Chair welcomed the Cohesion Manager to the meeting and invited him to present the update reports circulated as Appendix B.

The Cohesion Manager presented reports on three linked aspects of community safety:

a) Police & Crime Commissioner (PCC) funding

Grants had been submitted to the PCC to the value of £274k to support community safety projects. Appendix B1 set out the list of grant applications. Official confirmation of grant awards was awaited. The £20k bid for CCTV funding was backed by evidence of spikes in crime. The Independent Domestic Violence Advocacy (IDVA) service had been brought back in-house and the bid for £63k would support 2 additional IDVA posts to enhance the service and for the PCC to use as a base of good practice. On the back of Clare's Law and being a White Ribbon town there was a bid for £8k to raise awareness, especially of young women, of sexual and domestic abuse issues and to support the work of the Local Safeguarding Children Board CATE sub-group on raising awareness around behaviour triggers. Child sexual exploitation was still an issue although in Telford the numbers were small. It was explained that the commissioning criteria ensured that these services did not substitute council services but reduced crime and managed risk around offending.

There was a £15k application for floating support for IOM (Integrated Offender Management). There was then discussion and questions on a number of points:

The Chair asked how do we know if this is making a difference? The
Cohesion Manager responded that the work has been aligned to the PCC
Plan. We have been awarded £15K to work with other authorities to analyse
information and we are working with performance and planning to identify
trends and target resourses.

- Cllr. Ion asked if he wanted to find out about the crime figures and projects in
 his ward how would he do this. The Cohesion Manager replied that some
 work is funded by Town and Parish Council's. Unless you ask the question it
 is difficult to know what is going on, reassurance is the key issue
- Cllr. McClements asked if the problem with domestic abuse was that it is underground and people were not coming forward. The Manager said that people may know help is there but that does not prevent the behaviour happening in the first place. Cllr. Ion said that £8k to raise awareness of Domestic Violence did not seem much and asked if we could join up this with the funding for with the advocacy services for people going through the courts. He said he sat in court and that in some cases the victims are on their own. He said that the advocacy scheme is admirable. The Cohesion Manager said they were already joined up, and there was only a small pot of funding so they could not bid for much the idea was to train the trainer to maximise value and to reduce the number of victims coming through.
- The Chair asked how the risks of re-offending were managed because he had received a lot of complaints from people in Sutton Hill concerned that sex offenders were located there from prison and that the number of HMOs was making the problem worse. The Cohesion Manager said there was an independent multi-agency steering group which should carry out risk assessments of people being released from prison. If a person is released on-license the police are aware of where they are and support can be built around them. The problem arises if the offender is released off-license because the police may not know where they are and support is not built around them. Around 4-5 offenders are released from prison back to Telford every month. If they are on a low income they need a cheap place to live and Sutton Hill has cheaper places than other areas. The benefit system also influences the size of property that people rent. The risk is that they fall into debt and then re-offend. The Chair said that poverty was a big factor in reoffending. The Cohesion Manager said they were trying to address issues through the commissioning process for example the substance misuse bond for people who have gone through rehabilitation to help them resettle back in the community or to move them on from a HMO to alternative accommodation with a small support package. There are a lot of strands of work - choice based lettings, bonds, the single assessment tool – which need to be brought together to help manage behaviour.
- The Chair asked whether they had seen people who could not afford to live in the south moving into the borough. The Cohesion Manager said they were analysing the impact of the bedroom tax. It was reported that there was a delayed impact people who thought they could manage on a reduced budget are now struggling. There were people presenting who could not afford the shortfall in housing benefit which had increased the demand for one and two bedroom properties of which there was a shortage. Landlords had responded by developing HMOs (Houses of Multiple Occupation) which could put greater pressure back on the authority for example on the environmental health team at a time when there were fewer resources. There was also a crime prevention fund which supported

a number of projects such as neighbourhood cohesion, support for victims at home and improving security to make houses safe from domestic burglary. They needed to be creative in working with people in local communities and welcomed people coming forward with ideas. The drug and alcohol board were looking at the integration of drug intervention services as part of tier 1 and 2 prevention services.

b) <u>Domestic Abuse Plan</u>

The Draft Domestic Abuse Plan circulated as Appendix B2 was currently out for consultation with partners. This strategy fits with the West Midlands strategy. There were three key strands to the Plan:

- Prevention
- Provision
- Protection

The local authority has a statutory responsibility to review domestic abuse and the Chair of the Community Safety Partnership Board decides if a review needs to be undertaken. The Plan would be signed off by the Board on 15th June and then go to the Home Office. The Plan looks at wider support and links into Think Family to look at support across the board.

There was then discussion and questions on a number of points:

- Cllr. Ion asked for clarification on Reported Domestic Abuse table on page 7
 of the draft plan. The Manager said the information was provided by the
 Police Safer Neighbourhood Teams (SNT) and showed the number of
 reported incidents (not prosecutions) which the police had attended by SNT
 area.
- The Chair said he had some issues with victim support relating to an individual case which he would raise after the meeting. The Manager said that the referral process in Telford had been tightened up and anyone could raise an issue through Family Connect. There had been an increasing number of calls from members of the public reporting noisy arguments next door, or crimes they have witnessed, or to make allegations. Staff carry out a risk assessment and go out to investigate. A Pathway has been developed for the referral process. It is essential that the staff, the person reporting or the reported victim are not put at risk.
- Cllr. Ion wanted to know who matches up reports from members of the
 public to Family Connect with reports to the police of domestic abuse
 incidents because they could be different. The Cohesion Manager said
 there was funding to bring the systems together.
- Cllr. Ion wanted to know how Family Connect linked to the police. If Council staff are doing a risk assessment and visiting a house to gather evidence, making calls and following up what is the trigger for involving the police? The Assistant Director: Familiy Cohesion & Commissioning said if a call raised a significant issue, for example child protection issues there would be a strategy meeting involving the police and he assured members that the police were always involved if there were any concerns. The Cohesion Manager said the funding application for awareness raising was about

encouraging victims to come forward themselves and the idea was to support the victim. Cllr. Ion said these were judgement calls and he would be concerned about reputational damage to the authority if they did not respond. The Manager said the social workers assess the level of risk and if there are any concerns they trigger action with the police.

- Cllr. McClements asked about Clare's Law and if it was only the person involved in the relationship who could request information or if a family member could request information. The Manager said that as far as he understood, it was only the person in the relationship who could make a request. Cllr. McClements said they needed to put support in to encourage the person to make a request. The Manager agreed because victims can be drawn in by abusers and go through a repeating cycle of abuse which the family can see but the victim can't.
- The Chair said it is not poverty that makes people violent and abuse can happen anywhere. He wanted to know who is making links between areas and the Manager said the police determine the policing areas for the SNTs e.g. Cuckoo Oak is in the same area as Ironbridge.

c) Community Safety Partnership Plan

All community safety strategies and action plans come together under the umbrella of the Community Safety Partnership Plan. Actions are segregated by partner. The plan needs to get down to street and neighbourhood level and sometimes it comes down to individuals. Rich data is used to identify trends and spikes in crime. The priorities in Plan are decided from analysis of the data to that actions target the right issues through a locality approach. There can be a link between poverty and crime.

There was then a discussion and questions about the Community Payback scheme. Cllr. Ion asked how members could put forward ideas in their ward for the scheme. The Manager said members should contact Paul Fenn, team Leader, Community Safety. Cllr. Ion said Community Payback did not seem to be well known to all members and the Manager said there were plans to extend the scheme as part of Pride in Your Community. The idea was not to duplicate what the Council was doing but to target resources in other ways. Funding had been used to pay for supervision and the scheme had worked well. The Chair said there was a possible Community Payback opportunity in Sutton Hill but there would be no supervision and the Manager said each project would be risk assessed.

When there were no further questions, the Chair said members should e-mail comments on the Draft Domestic Abuse Plan to the Scrutiny Group Specialist to feed in as part of the consultation process.

The Cohesion Manager left the meeting.

Cllr. Reynolds gave her apologies for the remainder of the meeting and left.

SMB-27 SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME FOR 2014/15

The Chair said there is a job of work to be done by the election next May and there are three strands to include in the work programme:

- 1. To complete outstanding work
- 2. New priorities for the year
- 3. To look back and monitor the implementation of recommendations and the impact of scrutiny work.

The work programme should not be set and tied down for the whole year but should be flexible. He recommended that each committee should choose one previous review to monitor previous recommendations during the year.

The report 2014/15 Scrutiny Work Programme circulated as Appendix C included a list of outstanding items and new suggestions by committee with comments from senior managers for members to consider and make recommendations to the scrutiny committees about the priorities for 2014/15. Before opening the discussion the Chair invited the Assistant Director Family & Cohesion Services (AD) to explain the approach to the Transport Review so that members could decide the best approach for scrutiny.

The AD said a saving of £750k was needed from the transport budget. It had been decided not to do one huge transport review because the area was too complex so it had been broken down and they had worked with a transport specialist from the Improvement and Efficiency West Midlands Partnership to identify areas for detailed work:

- The Special Educational Need and Disabilities reform would bring about a fundamental change with the introduction of direct payments and parental choice of provision including transport. Transport would be looked at as part of the SEND restructure.
- Direct payments in adult social care meant more people were making their own choice about how they get to care. It was felt there was an opportunity to make savings in this area with no adverse impact. A business case and impact assessment wouldbe prepared and proposals would come forward in due course.
- Commissioning would be joined up to drive out efficiencies across universal (whole population) and specialist adult and children services.
- Fleet services were being reviewed to look at how vehicles could be used more efficiently during down time. A Fleet Manager was being recruited which would enable the Council to trade commercially and the viability of providing a service was being looked at.

Different Cabinet members were working with Assistant Directors to take responsibility for each area.

Additional points were made in the following discussion as:

- The AD assured members that the framework contract for taxis for children would look at safeguarding issues.
- Members were assured that there had been discussions with the hospital trust to link into the Travel and Transport Plan but it was early days
- Any proposed changes to the subsidised bus routes would be tested out.
 The Chair suggested this was something scrutiny could help with.
- The AD said that scrutiny would be kept up to date and involved in any

consultation.
The AD then left the meeting.

Members discussed the items in the work programme report and agreed the following:

Holding the Executive to account meeting on 5th June. Homelessness Strategy: a) Choice based lettings b) Housing Allocations Policy Budget & Finance Discussion deferred until 5th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Children & Young People Discussion deferred until 5th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Intrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported that any issues emerging	Scrutiny Management Board	
account Homelessness Strategy: a) Choice based lettings b) Housing Allocations Policy Budget & Finance Discussion deferred until 5 th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Children & Young People Discussion deferred until 5 th June after the appointment of the new Chair. Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Medications Policies Discussion deferred until 5 th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board on Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Discussion deferred until 5 th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board on Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Piscussion deferred until 5 th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report until 5 th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board as principles for scrutiny of budget or the work programme Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported for the work programme but recommend the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another		Discussion deferred to the informal Board
Accommended as a priority for the Board-choice based lettings by Housing Allocations Policy Budget & Finance Discussion deferred until 5th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Children & Young People Discussion deferred until 5th June after the appointment of the new Chair. Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Recommended as a priority for the work programme Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		
a) Choice based lettings b) Housing Allocations Policy Budget & Finance Discussion deferred until 5th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Children & Young People Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Housing, Economy & Intrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Children & Finance Discussion deferred until 5th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed as paratolonger present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny oblude brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny oblude and separate report would be brought to the work programme Recommended as a priority for the work programme Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value		
Budget & Finance Discussion deferred until 5 th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Housing, Economy & Intrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Discussion deferred until 5 th June as Cllr. Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Supported for the work programme Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging	,	
Budget & Finance Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Children & Young People Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Intrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and \$106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford As Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Discussion deferred until 5th June after the appoint to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny oblide brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny oblide brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny oblide brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny oblide brought to the Board to the work programme Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any i	· ·	and a second second great and a
Reynolds was no longer present. Agreed a separate report would be brought to the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Children & Young People Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local capacity supported in teasouples scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it so difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported for the work programme on the date of request a writer response on the date of request a		Discussion deferred until 5 th June as Cllr.
the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny of budget proposals. Discussion deferred until 5th June after the appointment of the new Chair. Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Recommended as a priority for the work programme Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge the Accommended that any issues emerging		
Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Capacity building in local communities Recommended as a priority for the work programme Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Observational processors of the work programme of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value.		Agreed a separate report would be brought to
Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local community for the work programme Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review owuld need to be carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		the Board to agree the principles for scrutiny
Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. Communities Recommended as a priority for the work programme Recommended as a priority for the work programme Recommended as a priority for the work programme Capacity building in local communities Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Arecommended the removal of 20mph speed in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		of budget proposals.
Co-operative & Communities Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with enemy for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging	Children & Young People	Discussion deferred until 5 th June after the
Welfare benefit reforms, jointly with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Capacity building in local communities Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		appointment of the new Chair.
with Budget & Finance Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Recommended as a priority for the work programme Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging	Co-operative & Communities	
Community Access to BSF facilities Capacity building in local communities Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Intervent for the work programme out or entered for the work p	Welfare benefit reforms, jointly	Supported for the work programme
Capacity building in local communities Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation ClL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mp		
Capacity building in local communities Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Nellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Tentatively supported if carefully scoped. The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging	Community Access to BSF	Recommended as a priority for the work
The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge The suggestion is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging	facilities	programme
See where scrutiny could add value. A review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation ClL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Supported for the work programme Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		
review would need to be carefully scoped. Cllr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation ClL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Recommended that any issues emerging	communities	
CIIr. McClements would explore further with the MD to identify potential areas. Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge CIL and Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported the work programme but recommended the removal of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issue speed limits from the work programme due to issue spe		•
Housing, Economy & Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge the MD to identify potential areas. Supported for the work programme Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		•
Marches Local Transport Body Supported for the work programme Shaping Places consultation Supported for the work programme Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		
Infrastructure Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		the MD to identify potential areas.
Marches Local Transport Body Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Supported for the work programme Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement.		
Shaping Places consultation CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the supported to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported for the work programme but recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement.		Compared at face the according to a superior
CIL and S106 policies as part of Shaping Places Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Supported for the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme but recommended the removal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement.		
Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge recommend this is done in one meeting Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		1.
Car Parking Enforcement and 20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Supported deferral of CPE until 2016 except to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging	·	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20mph speed limits outside schools and on estates to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge to request a written response on the date of renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		
renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge renewal of the car parking contract at Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		
Wellington Station and the implications of another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Ironbridge Recommended that any issues emerging		
another provider taking over the contract. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Ironbridge Recommended that any issues emerging	Schools and on estates	· · ·
Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended the removal of 20mph speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement.		
speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Speed limits from the work programme due to issues with enforcement. Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		, ,
Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge issues with enforcement. Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		·
Invest in Telford and BIDS Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Discussed alongside the suggestion below. Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		
Destination Telford as umbrella for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge Not supported in its current form. The scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging	Invest in Telford and BIDS	
for Invest in Telford, Meet Telford & Shropshire, Visit Ironbridge scope is too wide and it is difficult to see where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		
Telford & Shropshire, Visit where scrutiny could add value. Recommended that any issues emerging		• •
Ironbridge Recommended that any issues emerging	· ·	•
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
over the year should come to the Board.		over the year should come to the Board.
Transport Review Recommended that:	Transport Review	

	 Housing, Economy & Infrastructure lead on the review of fleet transport and subsidized routes with Co-operative & Communities CYP committee to incorporate transport for children into a review of SEND Health & Adult Care scrutiny committee to attend meetings as appropriate
Cost to the Council of	Not recommended as a topic for the work
cleansing illegal gypsy and	programme but request a response from the
traveller encampments	service area to respond to the person making
	the suggestion.
LEP governance	Supported for the work programme. Cllr.
arrangements	Turley nominated to join the proposed joint
	task & finish group.
Health & Adult Care	
Autism Strategy	Not recommended for the work programme
Transfer of Public Health to the	Discussion deferred until 5 th June for
Local Authority	discussion with Assistant Director Health &
	Wellbeing
Alcohol Strategy	Supported for the work programme
Response to Scrutiny Report on CHC	Supported for the work programme
Quality Accounts	Supported for the work programme
Better Care Fund	Supported for the work programme jointly
	with Budget & Finance
Adult Safeguarding	Supported for the work programme
CQC Area Profile	Supported for the work programme
Joint Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee	All items supported as statutory consultations. The JHOSC will determine its own work programme.

Further to the previous discussion the Chair advised members that Cllrs. K. Austin and R. Evans had been invited to the meeting in their capacity as representatives on the West Mercia Police & Crime Panel. He invited Cllr. Austin to comment on his experience of how the PCP was working. Cllr. Austin said that the Panel was very dominated by Worcester because the County and Districts were all represented on the Panel which was what had been agreed in the national regulations for PCPs. Meetings had been held in Hereford or Worcester and we need to see if Telford & Wrekin could host a meeting. Cllr. McClements commented that the meetings of the Local Enterprise partnership were held in other local authority areas. The Chair agreed that Telford should host a meeting to send a message to the PCP and he suggested that suitable venues should be identified and the PCP Chair asked to consider holding a meeting in the borough.

It was further **agreed** that the Police & Crime Commissioner would be invited to a future Scrutiny Management Board meeting to discuss the impact of his role on crime and policing policy in Telford and Wrekin.

SMB-28 <u>END OF YEAR PERFORMANCE MONITORING</u>

The Chair opened the item by reminding members that Cllr. Ion had decided to step down as Chair of the Children & Young People Scrutiny Committee after two years' service. He put on record his thanks to Cllr. Ion for his hard work and paid tribute to his great contribution to scrutiny, the impact of the work of the Children & Young People Scrutiny Committee under his leadership and to raising the profile of scrutiny locally and nationally.

The End of Year Scrutiny Performance Monitoring report had been circulated as Appendix D. There were no matters arising so the Chair asked members to reflect on the report and e-mail comments to the Scrutiny Group Specialist to take into account in the drafting of the Scrutiny Annual Report.

SMB-29 CHAIR'S UPDATES

The meeting ended at 15 50pm.

This item was cancelled due to a lack of time.

The meeting	onded at 10.00pm.
Chairman:	
Dato:	