

TWC/2014/0434

Land adjacent The Croft, Church Road, Lilleshall, Newport, Shropshire  
Outline application for the erection 6no. dwellings and 2no. bungalows

\*\*\*\*\*AMENDED DESCRIPTION AND PLANS RECEIVED\*\*\*\*\*

**APPLICANT**

, M Shanks & Family

**RECEIVED**

01/07/2014

**PARISH**

Lilleshall, Donnington and Muxton

**WARD**

Church Aston and Lilleshall

**OFFICER** Diane Ferriday

COUNCILLOR ANDREW EADE HAS REQUESTED THAT THIS APPLICATION IS DETERMINED BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

**MAIN ISSUES:**

Principle of development, housing need, impact on highways, amenities, built heritage, ecology and wildlife habitats and drainage.

**THE PROPOSAL:**

By way of background, the original outline submission was for the erection of 7 dwellings and 2 bungalows with all other matters to be considered at Reserved Matters stage. Following an initial standard consultation period there were concerns raised in relation to lack of information, density, and boundary treatment.

Subsequently a revised scheme was received for 6 dwellings and 2 bungalows and the means of access to the sited was included for consideration.

A single access point is proposed off Church Road and involves the reduction of the existing stone wall to a maximum height of 800mm above road level to acquire visibility splays. Existing hedging within the visibility splay will be removed and replanted 1m behind the visibility splay. The site will have an internal road providing access to each property. The properties abutting Church Road have been set further back comprising of a pair of semi-detached houses to the southern corner and at the northern corner a pair of detached bungalows in an L shape to minimise the depth and reduce ridge level. The four houses proposed at the rear of the site are all detached.

In support of the application a Design & Access Statement, Ecological Survey Report, Supplementary Planning Document, Heritage Statement and Landscape & Visual Appraisal were submitted.

**SITE AND SURROUNDINGS**

The application site is currently agricultural land situated on the eastern fringe of the village of Lilleshall; it is located off Church Road between St Michael's graveyard to the northeast and The Croft to the southwest. The rectangular shaped site is bound by a low stone wall with hedgerow along the north western boundary which abuts Church Road and slopes away to the southeast to arable fields and grassland beyond. The northern boundary adjacent to the graveyard is bound by a high brick wall and hedgerow; the southern boundary is bound by existing outbuilding associate with The Croft and a low stone wall.

On the opposite side of Church Road are residential properties differing in age, design and appearance with stone walling and hedging a feature within the street scene. A bus route runs along Church Road along with a bus stop being located outside St. Michael's Church opposite to the site.

The local school and village hall are within walking distance being located in the Village along with cricket and tennis facilities.

#### PLANNING HISTORY:

PE/2104/ 0163 –The LPA could positively consider the principle of residential development within this site in Lilleshall due to the absence of a 5 year land supply and as the site is deemed sustainable.

#### CONSULTATION RESPONSES:

Lilleshall, Donnington & Muxton Parish: resolved to object for the following reasons:

- The proposed development is on a green field site.
- Enhanced risk of flooding due to a natural soak away being replaced with concrete and tarmac.
- Poor infrastructure in that the school is already oversubscribed and there is no shop as stated in the design and access statement and scoring matrix.
- Lilleshall was originally removed from Key Settlement status due to the lack of sustainability but despite nothing changing in the village it has suddenly become sustainable.
- The Church is classed as a Grade 1 listed building and this development would detract from the inward and outward view of the Church. There are historical links between the Church and the Abbey and despite the Heritage Statement stating the development would not affect this the only way you could still see the Abbey from the Church is if you stood on the bench in the Church Grounds such are the proposed elevations of the site.
- There would be severe parking issues along Church Road especially when the Church is being used for weddings, funerals or community events. The road is narrow and this would exacerbate the problem with current traffic safety issues. Large vehicles such as buses cannot pass each other at present meaning that sometimes one vehicle has to reverse back along the road to a wider point. Also the proposed access is next to a bus stop.
- The development would alter the character of Church Road completely and remove two of the nicest meadows from the village.
- An investigation should be carried out to ensure whether or not the stone walls in Church Road are not protected through heritage or listed.
- The whole proposed development has been poorly thought out. There is a considerable problem of the site elevation being higher than the road and the listed buildings opposite.
- The Ecological survey was carried out during a time when most species of wildlife would be hibernating.
- What impact will there be on the two listed buildings opposite the proposed site with the Radon Gas during the removal of the soil from the site?
- No consideration has been given to the accessibility for emergency vehicles such as fire engines and ambulances.

•There are already 28 dwellings either under construction or approved within the centre of the village over the last two years. Also in the Ward of Lilleshall over 250 dwellings are already waiting for approval.

#### Affordable housing:

There is a continuing shortfall of affordable homes in the rural part of the borough. The applicants comment in the Design & Access Statement that affordable housing will be provided on site in accordance with approved policy as appropriate is welcomed. The applicant has proposed 37% which is consistent with policy. 80% of this should be for affordable rent and 20% for shared ownership as in the (draft) S106 Agreement. Based on the indicative scheme layout provided the preferred mix, specification and tenure is:

Type	Number	Internal Floor area m <sup>2</sup>
Two bed house	2	67-75
2 bed bungalow (semi-detached)	1	80

The affordable homes should be allocated through an agreed Local Lettings Plan, which gives priority to local residents of Lilleshall or those with a strong local connection to the village (all tenures). The method of advertising/marketing these homes will need to be agreed with the respective Registered Provider (housing association)

#### Drainage Section:

There are known flooding issues in Lilleshall at the bottom of Church Hill therefore it is essential that surface water is properly managed. For this reason any soakaway must be designed to be capable of dealing with the 1 in 100+30% event. Details on how this will be achieved should be submitted and should include infiltration tests in line with BRE365 and a drainage layout. Details on the ownership of each soakaway should also be provided. If it is not possible to utilise soakaways on the site details on an alternate method of surface water disposal will be required. As the only obvious point of connection would be the 150mm combined sewer running through the site STW would have to be consulted on allowable rates of discharge. Any flows above this rate must be attenuated within the site boundary and any attenuation feature must be capable of dealing with the 1 in 100+30% event. Details on how this would be achieved should be submitted.

#### Aboricultural:

If consent is afforded to the proposal the trees to the north within the cemetery should be surveyed and a root protection area identified, if the survey finds that the roots of these trees are probably within the application site, and then if consent is afforded to the proposal they will require protection during any development. The area should be regarded as a construction exclusion zone, with no soil level alterations and no excavation within the defined zone.

#### EnglishS Heritage:

Comment that regard should be given to setting of St. Michaels Church, on the bases of 'The Setting of Heritage Assets'. Future proposals for full planning should have a high quality of design of buildings and their landscaping setting.

Highways Section:

No objection, conditions required in relation to parking, turning, loading, visibility splays, details of pedestrian crossing on Church Road, details of pedestrian footway along the site frontage from the proposed vehicular access to the existing footway network (near to the cemetery) and details of design and construction of any new roads, footways, accesses with details of disposal of surface water.

Note: The internal Road Layout is purely indicative and is not subject to Highway approval.

Shropshire Fire Service: Informative required.

Environmental Health: Contaminated Land: No comment

Built Heritage:

Initial concerns were raised on first consultation relating to the overdevelopment of the site, the proposal being contrary to the prevailing form and character, being terrace and semi-detached properties and located effectively road front rather than set back in a garden setting. It represents a significant increase in density on the fringes of the village and it would be inappropriate given the rural fringe setting of this part of the village where the setting should be seen to be "petering" out rather than creating harsh settlement edges. Modern housing designs should be resisted as this would contribute to the further erosion of character already made by inappropriate developments in the 60's and 70's. Duke of Sutherland style housing would be supported but it is imperative that scale and massing reflects the traditional, which is a more vernacular scale here. The walls bounding the road front, whilst not of significant historic value are nevertheless significant to the vernacular character of Lilleshall and incursions into it should be kept to a minimum. Such walls can be seen throughout Lilleshall and particularly so along the length of Church Rd. Ideally where possible the applicant should seek to provide for their continued preservation through a program of works to repair and strengthen them.

Following the amendments the Built Heritage Officer commented that the developer has taken on the comments in regard of scale and massing, layout etc and that the proposals are more appropriate for the location within Lilleshall. They have also acknowledged the sensitivity of the setting given the adjoining Grade 1 listed church and have made a reasonable attempt to preserve a visual connection through the development, given the limited opportunity for preservation of views within the development control process. The site does not form part of the immediate curtilage of the Church itself and therefore the mere principle of development cannot necessarily be considered to be harmful to the setting per se. The general layout indicated on the plan may be considered to be appropriate as do the suggested designs proposed within the design and access statement. The key here will be to ensure that the design and layout are considered appropriate at Reserved Matters stage as a poorly designed scheme may be regarded as harmful to the setting of the adjoining Grade 1 listed church. The final design will have to be carefully controlled in respect of design and materials at reserved matters stage, this will be a sensitive matter and the developer will have to acknowledge this. Dwellings should be of a traditional form as per the suggested designs in the design and access document. No objection in principle to a development.

Ecology: No objection subject to conditions and informatives following the Ecology Survey Report carried out by Pearce Environment (February 2014).

### Neighbour consultation responses

Two consultation processes following receipt of amended plans, amended description and additional supporting information has been undertaken; 6 letters of support and around 250 letters of objection including photographs, 2 petitions one with 16 and the other with 400 signatures against the proposal have been received.

The objections are summarised as below;

#### Principle of Development

- Site is Green Field and against government and development policy.
- The three dimensions to sustainable development are economic, social and environment which this is not.
- Brownfield sites in Telford should be developed instead of plundering fields of Lilleshall.
- Under the Proposed Housing and Employment Site section (part of Shaping Places Local Plan) the site obtains a score of 2. The positive scores were from being close a primary school, beside a highway, and a core cycle route being the positives. This is not a very impressive score especially as the highway and the cycle route are effectively one and the same thing. Simply marking a cycle route on an existing highway and giving it an extra point for sustainability is nonsense.
- Contravenes Development Plan
- The negative is that it is on good agricultural land but there should be further negative being the destruction of the view (towards Lilleshall Abbey).
- Further housing development in Lilleshall is detrimental to the lives of the existing residents. Building on precious countryside is poor legacy for future generations
- Pushing boundaries and infrastructure of village
- Local people not able to afford the houses
- Development excessive and not required. Amount of development is disproportionate in this small village.
- Neither classed nor excused as "infill". This is wholesale land grab.
- Research for the Village Farm provides all necessary houses required; there is only a need for 2 bungalows. 56 dwellings already built.
- Hope that Councillors listen to the electorate
- Urge members to visit in person.
- Many services in Muxton are being used by Lilleshall residents and this will place them under more pressure.
- No proposed amenities for unwarranted expansion

## Sustainability

- Site is not sustainable
- School, Post Office and Cycle Route do not make the site sustainable,
- The cycle route is simply the main road. In a village environment, how does a main road marked on the core cycle route make a site more or less sustainable? This is nonsense.
- The school is oversubscribed and even if only part of the unwanted development around Muxton takes place there will be a total lack of school places in the area.
- Increase in housing of some 19.4% in just this part of the village
- No work for new residents – they will have to travel elsewhere

## Amenity

- Impact on privacy
- Vehicles parking at night will illuminate the front rooms of no's 13 and 14 Church Road
- Car owners able to look right into living rooms.
- Placing strain on already overburdened sewerage system; public drains and sewers inadequate, flooding occurs at bottom of Church Road. Croft refused 7 years ago for drainage reasons
- Disregard to worshippers and disruption to Churchyard
- Deep toxic gases found in 1971. Site identified as an area suffering from the leaching of Radon Gas – a serious health hazard to residents.
- Loss of footpath utilized by local people, who will be responsible for the maintenance of the public right of way if diverted
- Development will cause disruption
- No parking or garaging within the site
- Understand from local police that Lilleshall has worst crime record of all areas surrounding Newport and wonder if another condensed housing development like Hill Grange will contribute to the increase in crime.

## Traffic

- Amended scheme worse than initial scheme being directly opposite Mill House on narrow stretch of road
- Insufficient parking on site – cars likely to be parked in unsafe manner and cause hazard to road users.
- Opposite private drive
- Increase in housing will exacerbate existing traffic problems that occur on a daily basis in the village.
- Parents park legally and illegally at peak school times when traffic is impossible
- Car parking is a result of regular Church Services made worse by lack of parking in the area, narrow roads and too many cars

- Road not wide enough for bus and car to pass
- Increase noise and pollution from additional traffic
- Problems with access onto Church Road from junction of Rock Acres.
- Village is on national cycle route Number 55 and used by cyclists daily; vehicles emerging from development likely to cause hazards for cyclists.
- Designated car park will overlook listed properties opposite.
- Numerous occasions when Local bus service withdrawn during construction at The Grange
- Arriva have commented that any further development in Lilleshall they will refuse to continue to run the present bus service.
- Response from the Highway Authority is totally inadequate
- Two bottlenecks in village with no ability to widen footpaths or roads.

#### Impact on setting of Listed Building

- Local heritage is valued by the community and should be protected
- Damage by heavy vehicle to existing Grade 2 Listed Buildings
- The historic stone wall should be preserved.
- Rebuilt wall misrepresentation of what exists
- Church Road provides views over open countryside which should be preserved along with brick wall
- Erecting terraced properties opposite Listed Building is unsympathetic to the character of Lilleshall
- Under NPPF planners must protect and enhance our natural, built, and historic environment
- New properties would completely obliterate the view from the footpath level in front of the church

#### Character and Appearance

- Erecting row of rear facing terraced and semi's facing Church Road will be ugly and not in keeping with the diversity and character of Lilleshall
- Modern 'dolls house' which will comprise this development will be out of keeping
- Homogenous development will destroy the unique characterful properties along Church Road.
- Out of scale and character with area
- Impact on historic panoramic views towards 12<sup>th</sup> Century Augustinian Abbey, the Hall and beyond as well as views from Lilleshall Mount.

#### Wildlife

- There is an abundance of wildlife on site and to say no impact is absurd.
- A one day report on ecology is not reflective of the wildlife that visit throughout the year

- The ecological survey is fundamentally flawed, deficient and fails to fully detail the impacts on protected species and Planning Committee should not rely on it.
- Plot 7 & 8 back onto the Croft boundary wall which will mean part of the traditional orchard will be destroyed. Orchards are protected under section 41 of the Natural Environmental and Rural Communities Act.

In support

- Wish to see affordable housing; young families should be encouraged into Village. All six affordable properties at Hill Grange are occupied.
- People who cannot afford the normal range of property in Lilleshall should have the choice to live here not be told they should live in Newport, or Telford
- Give individuals a property in the village, particularly those who have direct connections with the village and been raised and schooled in the village
- Church Road has pinch points and nothing has changed in years, Motorists need to accept road conditions and drive accordingly
- Suggest access to both sites be by way of access opposite the Vicarage with link road joining the two sites.
- Design and layout of houses in sympathy unlike past development in Village

## PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT

National guidance:

National Planning Policy Framework

Core Strategy Policy

CS1 Homes

CS7 Rural Area

CS9 Accessibility and Social Inclusion

CS12 Natural Environment

CS13 Environmental Resources

CS14 Cultural, Historic and Built Environment

CS15 Urban Design

Saved Wrekin Local Plan policies:

H9 Location of New Housing

H10 Scale of New Development

H22 Community Facilities

H23 Affordable Housing

H24 Affordable Housing Rural Exceptions Policy

T22 Planning Obligations

OL6 Open Land

UD2 Design Criteria

UD4 Landscape Design

## PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

### Principle of development

The Core Strategy DPD adopted in 2007 addresses the key spatial development issues for the Borough and provides a robust strategic planning policy framework of how the Borough will develop to 2106. Policy CS1 (Homes) of the Core Strategy identifies housing numbers over the plan period for Telford, Newport and the rural area and policy CS7 limits development in the rural area and focuses on the settlements of High Ercall, Tibberton and Waters Upton. New housing will be expected to deliver affordable housing to the level of 40% of such development; outside of these areas development will be limited and in the open countryside strictly controlled.

To boost the supply of housing the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) advises that 'housing applications should be considered in the context of the presumption in favour of sustainable development'. It requires Local Planning Authorities to identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of housing against their housing requirements. If Local Planning authorities cannot demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites then relevant polices in regards to the supply of housing cannot be considered up to date and the NPPF advises that development should be granted unless there are any adverse impacts which would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. It has been established that Telford & Wrekin Council cannot demonstrate a 5 year land supply therefore in this instance it would not be reasonable for the LPA to refuse the application purely on the grounds of housing supply, and, in accordance with the NPPF, there is a presumption in favour of sustainable development unless it can be demonstrated that there is considerable harm.

Objections have been received on the grounds that the site is not sustainable having limited services, no shop and a village school that is oversubscribed. NPPF advises that sustainable development is about positive growth making economic, environmental and social progress for this and future generations. An economic role by contributing to building a strong, responsive and competitive economy, a social role by supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by providing the supply of housing required to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by creating a high quality built environment, with accessible local services that reflect the community's needs and support its health, social and cultural well-being; and an environmental role by contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment. The Council's Investment, Strategy & Partnership Officer has informed that there is a continuing shortfall of affordable homes in the rural part of the Borough.

Pursuing sustainable development involves seeking positive improvements in the quality of the built, natural and historic environment, as well as in people's quality of life, making it easier for jobs to be created in cities, towns and villages and improving the conditions in which people live, work, travel and take leisure and widening the choice of high quality homes. It further states that to deliver a wide choice of high quality homes, widen opportunities for home ownership and create sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities, local planning authorities should plan for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic trends, market trends and the needs of different groups in the community.

NPPF gives guidance to promote a strong rural economy and sustainable development in rural areas by housing being located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities and help to promote the retention and development of local services and community facilities in villages, such as local shops, meeting places, sports venues, cultural buildings, public houses and places of worship. The site is located on the eastern fringe of the village of Lilleshall opposite established housing along Church Road with further residential off Church Road. It is close to services and facilities provided in Lilleshall with a local school, church, bus service and sporting facilities. Therefore, whilst rural housing schemes should be limited in scale to meet local needs as set out in the Core Strategy; with regard to NPPF, the proposed housing can be viewed in terms of supporting and maintaining the vitality of Lilleshall. Accordingly, the site is considered to be sustainable and accessible and accords with the criteria in NPPF regarding housing in the rural area and the provision of affordable housing, and policy CS9 of the Core Strategy.

Local residents are against development on this greenfield site and although the NPPF encourages the use of brownfield land it does not stipulate that brownfield land must be developed before consideration of greenfield sites. The Council's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) in 2012 identified sites with housing potential within the Borough and made an assessment of how deliverable they were with a view to how many dwellings could potentially be built and provided baseline evidence to inform the emerging Local Plan, Shaping Places. This site is identified as site 363 within the document; and assessed as being available and achievable with no known constraint. It is acknowledged that the site's inclusion in the SHLAA carries little weight as a determining factor in the planning application decision making process; however it is an indication that the site is capable of being developed and that it will be material consideration for Shaping Places.

Officers have met with local residents and Cllr Eade and whilst their concerns are acknowledged applications cannot be refused on the grounds of housing supply alone and that there is a presumption in favour of sustainable development. It has to be appreciated that this is an application for residential development within an existing and established settlement and that the principle of development is considered acceptable, subject to other factors to be considered in the planning balance.

#### Shaping Places Document and Neighbourhood Development Plan.

Local residents have raised the question of The Shaping Places document and Neighbourhood Development Plan and the influence this has on decision making. The programme for planning policy began in January 2013 and includes the production of the Shaping Places document which will be the Council's new Local Plan providing the development strategy and policies to help deliver growth till 2031. As the submission for examination and adoption is 2015 -2016 it does not have development plan status. Little weight can be given to Shaping Places in the decision-making process at this time.

### Neighbourhood Development Plans

Town and Parish Councils can prepare their own Neighbourhood Development Plans with advice from the Council which gives an opportunity for communities to consider general planning policies for development within their Parish; it gives a voice to where homes should be built and how they look and sets a vision for the future. This plan must conform to national planning policies and development plan policies of Telford & Wrekin. Neighbourhood Development Orders enables the community to grant planning permission for development they want to see go ahead. The order allows homes and offices to be built without the need for developers to apply for separate planning permission. These must also have regard to national planning policies and guidance and strategic policies of Telford & Wrekin. At the time of this report only Madeley, Newport, Ercall Magna, Waters Upton and Stirchley & Brookside Parish and Town Councils have initiated plans for their area.

### Access

A high number of objections from local residents have been received following consultation in regard to highway safety; the narrowness of Church Road, issues with parking with buses and larger vehicles being unable to pass by. There will be a natural increase in construction traffic as noted with the recently constructed development at Hill Farm to the north; and an increase at school collection and drop off times as well as people attending church. The Highway Officer takes the view that in some way the narrow road is a natural traffic calming device in its own right. The Highways Officer notes that there are no recorded accidents along Church Road for the last 10 years; there was recently one at the junction of Church Road/Lillyhurst Lane which was due to lack of visibility and the Highway Authority has now secured money to make improvements. There was a suggestion that the applicant provides additional parking at the church; however this would seem unreasonable as the scheme itself has sufficient parking with vehicles being able manoeuvre within the site. The proposal in the view of the local highway authority is acceptable in highway terms and is not deemed to place any significant burden on the existing road network. The Highway Officer has no objection to the proposal subject to conditions and a Section 106 contribution of £200 per dwelling towards footway improvements from the site to the Primary School and £625 per dwelling towards off site highway improvements of the Church Road and Lilyhurst Road. The proposal is therefore deemed to accord with saved policies UD2 and H24 of the WLP.

### Design

Lilleshall village accommodates a mix of modern and mature housing, some of which are listed. Residential properties along Church Road are mainly detached dwellings varying in age, design and appearance. The majority of these properties are set back from the highway and bound by stone walls and hedging which are prominent features within the street scene. This is an outline application where the siting, layout and design of the dwellings will be considered at Reserved Matters stage; however indicative plans show that the site can accommodate a total of 8 dwellings set back from the highway with adequate amenity space. Suggested elevation treatment is included in the Supplementary Planning Statement and Design & Access Statement where it states that details from the Duke of Sutherland style could be incorporated into the design of the dwellings including circa 40 to 45 degree roof pitches on the houses and circa 30 degrees on the bungalows, principally brick elevations, plain clay roof tiles, a chimney per dwelling and possibly two on the larger

houses, visible protruding purlins, corbelled eaves, brick “eyebrow” detail above windows, gables particularly along the frontage to Church Road, dormer windows to minimise the ridge height particularly on the dwellings closest to Church Road, potential for a timber framed covered entrance porch, bay window features and tall single casement windows set deep within prominent sills; this Duke of Sutherland style housing would be supported in principle. There are concerns regarding the loss of the wall along the front of the site which is an important characteristic along Church Road. The wall will be retained; however it would be reduced in height to 800m above road level to meet visibility splay requirements; the existing hedging along this wall will be removed and replanted 1m behind the visibility splay. The retention and preservation of the wall will be mitigated through appropriate conditions. The proposed development is therefore deemed to accord with policy CS15 of the Core Strategy and ‘saved’ policy UD2 of the WLP.

### Impact on Amenity

The nearest residential properties affected by the proposed development are those on the opposite side of Church Road and The Croft to the south. The Croft has no principle windows overlooking the site and several outbuildings are located along the shared south west boundary that provides additional screening. The properties along Church Road will directly overlook the development; however these properties are set back from the highway and have some natural screening from hedging and shrubs within their front gardens. It is therefore considered the impact on the amenities of the occupiers of these residential properties is not significant due to distance separation and screening.

### Drainage

The site is not within the flood risk area as designated on the Environment Agency flood maps. However concerns have been raised with regard to flooding therefore the Local Planning Authority has sought further guidance in this respect from the Council’s Drainage Engineers who note that there are known flooding issues in Lilleshall at the bottom of Church Hill. However they have not raised an objection to the proposal and the management of surface water will therefore be mitigated though appropriate conditions.

### Ecology

The concerns expressed by residents with regard to ecological matters are noted. As part of the submission an Ecological Survey Report and supporting documents carried out by Pearce Environment (Feb 2014) were received. The report stated that the site comprises semi-improved grassland, a dry stone wall, hedges dominated by hawthorn and trees which are outside of the proposed development boundary. The report concluded that there were no habitats of principle importance present on the site. The survey recorded no trees or buildings with bat roosting potential on the site although there is potential for bats to be foraging and commuting in the wider environment and therefore recommend that 2 integrated bat boxes be provided within the new dwellings on the site and that lighting on the site will need to be carefully controlled to limit impacts upon foraging and commuting bats. Vegetation on the site has potential for nesting wild birds to be present and should be removed outside of the bird nesting season. Enhancements of the site should be provided as recommended by Pearce Environment in the form of 2 sparrow terrace bird boxes, 2 bird boxes suitable for small birds and a native species hedgerow along the south

east site boundary as shown on the indicative site layout plan. A single pond was identified 174m from the site, the pond was assessed as having a Habitat Suitability since it appears to be very large, stocked with fish and have a large population of Canada goose present. It was concluded that there is little potential for great crested newts to be present and that further survey work for the species is not required.

The application was considered under the Habitat Regulation Assessment process where the report and supporting documents were assessed by the Council's Ecologist Officer who raises no objection subject to appropriate conditions and informatives regarding the erection of artificial nesting/roosting boxes, the submission of a lighting plan and undertaking of a pre-commencement landscaping scheme.

By considering the above it is concluded that all ecological matters can be sufficiently addressed by conditions and informatives and therefore complies with policies CS12 of the Core Strategy and OL11 of the Wrekin Local Plan and guidance in the NPPF which seeks to prevent development that will adversely affect landscape and wildlife.

### Landscape

A comprehensive Landscape and Visual Appraisal has been submitted with the application; undertaken in accordance with the current *Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment* (GLVIA) and is read in conjunction with illustrations contained in Appendix 1 and 2. The Assessment has recognised the landscape, visual and heritage assets and constraints of the site and evaluated key landscape issues that are explicit to this development by assessing how the proposed development will affect these elements that make up the landscape, the aesthetic and perceptual aspects of the landscape and its distinctive character. It concluded that in terms of the siting layout and design of the residential development and the mitigating effects of the proposed landscape treatment at maturity there would be no significant adverse landscape effects arising from the development upon the landscape character in this area nor would there be any significant adverse landscape or visual effects on the visual setting of the heritage assets particularly St. Michaels Church, Lilleshall Abbey and The Duke of Sutherland Monument on Lilleshall Hill. The small scale of the development on this site would not have adverse effects upon the local landscape character, since it would effectively be assimilated by the local landform, its relationship with the existing neighbouring buildings, and the proposed boundary treatments.

It is considered that the proposal accords with 'saved' policy UD4 of the WLP that states that the Council will require that an integrated approach is taken in relation to landscape design and that this is reflected in the form and design of development proposals. Where appropriate, for example in relation to large-scale developments or those on sensitive sites, the Council will require that a landscape assessment is produced and submitted as part of the detailed application in addition to taking account of guidance given in the NPPF in respect of heritage assets present in the vicinity and in the wider landscape.

### Impact on Trees

There are no trees within the site which are subject to Tree Preservation Orders; however there are trees in the adjacent graveyard that offer amenity value to the

area and as their canopies overhang the site there will be roots from those trees within the site. These roots will require protecting which can be mitigated through appropriate conditions.

### Other Constraints and Issues

The site is within a coal mining area but does not have legacy issues that are a risk to the surface and can be dealt with via an informative note within the decision. .

There have been a large number of objections received on this application and many issues raised; this report has considered these and due to the number received has focused on addressing those that relate to material planning considerations.

### Section 106 Contributions

The scale and nature of the proposed development requires contributions towards highways, as well as provision of a proportion of affordable housing, in order to accord with planning policies H22, and T22 of the Wrekin Local Plan in providing necessary community benefits.

The Applicant has agreed to provide a financial contribution of £875 per dwelling towards highways infrastructure being £200 per dwelling towards footway improvements from the site to the Primary School and £625 per dwelling towards off site highway improvements of the Church Road and Lilyhurst Road Junction. These figures have been agreed by relevant internal departments.

With regards to affordable housing it is noted that the Housing officer has agreed to a provision of 37% affordable housing consisting of 2 social rent and 1 shared ownership properties that would be made up of 2 two bedroom houses and 1 two bedroom bungalow.

It is considered that the provision of affordable housing and financial contributions towards highways are acceptable and accords with policy.

### Conclusion

The application has resulted in a large number of objections from local residents and their concerns have been considered and addressed within this report; however the principle of development is considered acceptable in this location and the layout demonstrates that the development can be accommodated without significant impact on nearby residential amenity, the character of the surrounding area, highway safety or the natural environment. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to comply with the relevant national and local planning policies and the application is recommended for approval subject to financial contributions, affordable housing provision and appropriate conditions.

**RECOMMENDATION:** to delegate to the Development Management Service Delivery Managers, to GRANT OUTLINE CONSENT subject to the following:

i.Provision of off-site affordable housing constituting 36% of total number of units

ii A contribution of £875 per unit towards Highways Improvements

Conditions

1. A01 Time Limit – Outline
2. B002 Standard Outline – Some Matters Reserved
3. B003 General Details Required
4. B041 Visibility Splays (2.4m x 43m)
5. B042 Parking, Turning, Loading, Unloading
6. BCus highway Custom – pedestrian crossing on Church Road
7. BCus highway Custom -pedestrian footway along the site frontage
8. BCus highway Custom – details of design and construction of new roads, footways, accesses, and dispersal surface water.
9. BCus Retention of wall
10. B150 Site Environmental Management Plan
11. B061 Foul & Surface Water Scheme
12. B064/65 Soakaway Test/Locations
13. B121 Landscape Plan
14. C73/74 Tree/Hedge Protection
15. C100 Erection Artificial Nesting/Roosting Boxes
16. C109 Lighting Plan
17. C38 Development in Accordance with Plans

#### Informatives

S106 Agreement  
Highways  
Ecology Nesting Wild Birds  
Shropshire Fire Authority  
Broadband  
Conditions