

TELFORD & WREKIN COUNCIL

ACTIVE LIFESTYLES, LEISURE AND CULTURE SCRUTINY COMMITTEE– 8 SEPTEMBER 2010

PROVISION OF OPHTHALMOLOGY AND MUSCULOSKELETAL SERVICES AT EUSTON HOUSE, EUSTON WAY, TELFORD – STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

REPORT OF NHS TELFORD and WREKIN COMMISSIONING GROUP

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1.1 This report outlines the proposed provision of Ophthalmology and Musculoskeletal services at Euston House, Euston Way, Telford, TF3 4LY. It gives an account of the consultation which has taken place to assure that the involvement and views of stakeholders have adequately been taken into account during the service redesign process. The services are due to commence spring 2011 and be delivered at new purposely designed premises at Euston House, Euston Way, Town Centre, Telford, TF3 4LY
- 1.2 Confirmation is sought from the Active Lifestyles, Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee that the stakeholder involvement is sufficient to meet requirements.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 **Active Lifestyles, Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee are asked to agree that the current scheduled stakeholder engagement is sufficient to meet requirements.**

3.0 PREVIOUS MINUTES

- 3.1 This is the first paper to be submitted to the Committee regarding stakeholder engagement for the provision of Ophthalmology and Musculo-skeletal services at Euston House, Euston Way, Telford – no previous correspondence

4.0 BACKGROUND TO PROJECTS

4.1 Ophthalmology

NHS Telford and Wrekin is committed to the provision of high quality, dedicated and professional Ophthalmology Services for patients with

Ophthalmic conditions, centred on clinical assessment and treatment by ensuring the patient is placed onto the appropriate clinical pathway. The Service Provider has been struggling to meet the 18 week target for referral to treatment time (RTT). The demand for eye care is set to increase as the population of Telford and Wrekin ages.

To allow for additional capacity, a Practice Based Commissioning (PBC) project was developed. The outcome of this project was to commission a Community Based Cataract Surgery service for the patients of Telford and Wrekin. The service will provide treatment for patients being referred by Telford and Wrekin General Practitioners and Optometrists for Cataracts. The service will include assessment, management (including surgery) and treatment of Cataracts.

The Cataract service will deliver a high quality patient centred service providing an alternative to hospital based outpatient care, therefore reducing demand on secondary care services. Patients will only be referred into Secondary Care when there is a need for General Anaesthetic or complex treatments which can only be provided within hospital based Ophthalmology services.

The new community cataract provision will support the patients, General practitioners and Optometrists of Telford and Wrekin by making available a new and effective cataract service; reduce waiting times and increase access for patients whilst providing value for money.

The aims of the service are :

- To provide a PBC-led Community Cataract Service that is responsive to the needs of the local patient population of Telford and Wrekin.
- To assess, diagnose, treat and manage patients in need of cataract care by providing a high quality ophthalmology cataract service within a community setting that is led by a Consultant.
- To provide (where appropriate) a “one stop” service that can incorporate diagnostic testing and treatment during a single visit.
- To improve health outcomes through earlier diagnosis and treatment of common ophthalmology conditions.
- To improve the patient experience and journey through locally agreed pathways that will reduce waiting times and improve access for patients whilst meeting the 18 week standard
- To improve patient satisfaction through the commissioning of a redesigned service that responds to patient feedback.
- To reduce “Did Not Attends” (DNAs) for outpatient appointments and surgical procedures.
- To improve cost effectiveness of ophthalmology / cataract care.
- To reduce Health Inequalities by improving access to the service.

4.2 Musculo-skeletal (MSK)

The Department of Health highlighted a review of the Musculo-skeletal pathway as one of six priority areas to address the demand on Secondary Care Services and 18 week pathway by streamlining and improving patient care and clinical outcomes. NHS Telford and Wrekin, working with the Telford and Wrekin Practice Based Commissioning Consortium, developed an MSK Service model which is centred on clinical assessment and treatment by getting the patient onto the appropriate management pathway.

The MSK Clinical Assessment and Treatment Service (CATS) will deliver a high quality patient centred service providing an alternative to hospital based care for Telford and Wrekin patients referred by General Practitioners and other Health Care professionals. The Service will assess and treat patients with appropriate musculoskeletal conditions. It will support the development of skills within a Primary Care team and significantly reduce the demand on secondary care thus increasing the numbers of patients being followed up in Primary Care. This service will also include the management of chronic pain.

The purpose of the community based Musculoskeletal CATS service is to support people with managing their musculoskeletal (joint, muscle and bone) conditions and to improve the quality of patient care and clinical outcome. This is to ensure that they can maintain function in the activities of daily living and employment. The specific aims of the MSK ICATS will be to:

- Provide the patient and the referrer with an accurate diagnosis of their musculoskeletal condition;
- Provide clinical assessment, treatment and clinical leadership within a comprehensive community service.
- Improve access to specialised MSK clinical services and ensure patients are offered the most appropriate treatment or management in the shortest possible time.
- To provide an appropriate and timely referral to treatment, be that in Primary Care or Secondary Care, or completed episode of care.
- Enhance the management of patients within the community, and actively manage the demand for secondary care services ensuring patients have speedy access to appropriate treatment.
- Achieve a reduction in orthopaedic referrals to acute hospital providers.
- Increase conversion rates from outpatient attendances to surgery through more appropriate referrals to acute hospital providers.
- Improve patient experience and satisfaction.
- Limit the physical and associated disabilities that are caused by musculoskeletal conditions.
- Ensure patients are seen and treated closer to home in an environment most appropriate to their needs.
- Develop agreed models of care and pathways for common musculoskeletal conditions.

- Develop a service that dovetails with and compliments existing local services
- Offer self referral facility for patients previously seen within the service to a physiotherapy service, where physiotherapists can access ESP advice if needed.

5.0 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

5.1 The service redesign projects have been ongoing and discussions with local clinicians have ensured that a service specification has been developed. There has been engagement with patients and the public to determine their views on the services.

5.2 Clinical engagement has been received from:-

- Gary Caton – Pathways Development Manager
- Mike Innes – GP Stirchley Medical Practice
- Quentin Shaw – GP Stirchley Medical Practice
- Nick Tindall – GP Wellington Road Medical Practice
- Shailendra Allen – GP and member of Local Medical Committee
- Louise Warburton – GP and PCT provider service
- Ewan Craig – Consultant Ophthalmologist
- James Wiggin – Optometrist and Chair of Local Optometry Committee
- Heidi Smith – Specialist Pain Nurse - SaTH
- Debbie Jones - Superintendent Radiographer
- Richard Fallows – Extended Scope Physiotherapist

5.3 Patient and Public Engagement has been undertaken via:-

- Engagement through LINKs who are undertaking focus groups and a questionnaire
- Two patients are currently involved in the Ophthalmology redesign
- One patient was involved in the Tender for the Rheumatology element of the Musculo-skeletal project which was a significant change and went to formal consultation earlier in the year.
- A press release is being prepared to allow for public views on Euston House
- Active Lifestyles, Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee
- Patient views will be reflected in the final service specification
- Patient questionnaires have been completed at the current Ophthalmology Outreach Clinic at Donnington Medical Practice.
- GP Practices have completed questionnaires based on feedback they have received from their patients on the service redesign. Feedback has been incorporated in the service specifications
- Senior Citizens Forum have received information regarding the service redesign at one of their recent meetings

6.0 EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 The facility at Euston House is being purposely redesigned to meet physical needs of equality and diversity, ensuring that easy access is maintained for all. The facility will be Disability Discrimination Compliant.
- 6.2 The providers of the services will have to demonstrate that services have been assessed for the impact of equality and diversity and will be monitored on this as part of the regular review process.

7.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 The redesign of Euston House has been funded through Practice Based Commissioning freed up resources generated by General Practice.
- 7.2 The providers of the services will have to demonstrate value for money and it is anticipated that the services will be provided at a reduced rate, generating a saving compared to current costs.
- 7.3 Any savings generated from these cost effective services will be reinvested into patient care for the residents of Telford and Wrekin.
- 7.4 Full costings are currently being compiled based on the latest assumptions and information available.

8.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 8.1 There is an requirement to ensure that there is patient and public involvement in service change and to ensure that NHS Procurement guide is followed if a new service provider is required to deliver the service. New guidance was published on 30 July which sets out current government policy on procuring healthcare services and supersedes the previous (March 2010) guidance, the 'Procurement Guide for Commissioners of NHS-funded services' and the 'Principles and Rules for Co-operation and Competition.
- 8.2 The PCT was following the NHS process of Preferred Provider and was working with the local Acute Provider to deliver this service. This process is no longer mentioned in the new guidance and the PCT is currently seeking advice with regards to the tendering of these services.
- 8.3 The provider of the services will be required to follow all relevant and up to date clinical and national requirements in terms of governance.

9.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 The combination of one-stop type facilities which are provided in the community together with the location being at an existing transportation

hub (Euston House being next to the train station, which has easy access via public buses and car park spaces), will result in good access for patients limiting the carbon emissions.

- 9.2 Use of an existing building therefore omitting the need to source bricks, mortar and other building products for the construction thus less of an impact on natural resources.
- 9.3 Use of building materials for the fit out that are non-harmful to the natural environment.
- 9.4 Layout of the building is strategically designed to minimise the reliance on air conditioning thus saving on energy as well as reducing harmful gases release
- 9.5 The facility is centrally located ensuring it is in a convenient and accessible location for the majority of the users, furthermore it is at the heart of the public transportation links thus reducing the reliance on private car resulting in lower carbon emissions.

Report prepared by:

Mrs Nicky Wilde
Primary Care Development Manager - Commissioning
NHS Telford and Wrekin

TELFORD & WREKIN COUNCIL

**ACTIVE LIFESTYLES, LEISURE AND CULTURE SCRUTINY
COMMITTEE– 8 SEPTEMBER 2010**

NHS CONSULTATION FRAMEWORK

REPORT OF SCRUTINY MANAGER

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To enable Members to consider a framework that sets out 4 levels of consultation in relation to changes to NHS services.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 Members consider the consultation framework outlined in Section 5.2 of the report and, if agreed, that discussions are held with the PCT and Shropshire Council.**

3.0 PREVIOUS MINUTES

- 3.1 N/A

4.0 BACKGROUND

- 4.1 The Health and Social Care Act 2001 sets out the role of Health Overview and Scrutiny Committees and the requirements on the NHS in relation to consultation on changes to services. (This was further strengthened by the NHS Act 2006 and the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007).
- 4.2 The requirements relating to the Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee are:
- Where there is a proposed substantial variation or development in service NHS bodies are required to consult with the relevant health Overview and Scrutiny Committee.
 - Where a report or recommendation is made to an NHS body , that body must respond in 28 days where a reply is requested.

- Where a committee feels that a consultation, or the reasons given for an exception to the consultation are inadequate or where they feel the proposals are not in the interest of local health services it may refer the matter to the Secretary of State for Health.

(Reference: Centre for Public Scrutiny: Pulling it all together, A guide to legislation on overview and scrutiny in English Local Authorities April 2010)

4.3 The Regulations for overview and scrutiny do not define what the term 'substantial'. The guidance on health scrutiny from the Department of Health states that local NHS bodies should aim to reach a local understanding or definition with their Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee and that this should be informed by discussion with other key stakeholders. However, the guidance does state that when considering if a proposal is substantial NHS bodies, committees and stakeholders should consider generally the impact of the change upon patients, carers and the public who use or have potential to use the service. More specifically they should take into account:

- Changes in accessibility to the service - for example both reductions and increases in a particular site or changes in opening times for a particular clinic
- Impact of the proposal on the wider community and other services – including economic impact, transport and regeneration
- Patients affected – changes may affect the whole population or a small group. If it affects a small groups this might still be regarded as substantial.
- Methods of service delivery – altering the way a service is delivered may be a substantial change for example moving a particular service into community setting rather than being entirely hospital based

5.0 PROPOSED CONSULTATION FRAMEWORK

5.1 Under the Scrutiny arrangements introduced in June 2010 the Active Lifestyles, Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee undertakes the Health Scrutiny function which includes the work of the Joint Health Scrutiny Committee with Shropshire Council.

5.2 Members of the Committee are asked to consider adopting a framework for NHS consultations. The framework is set out below and identifies 4 levels of consultation the committee may wish to apply to proposed changes to NHS services:

- Level 1- the change in service proposed is minor or there are immediate risk to the safety and welfare of patient or staff. Consultation is not required but patients should be involved in the development of the service.

- Level 2 – the change in service is not substantial but some consultation with patients is required regarding the proposed change.
- Level 3 – the change in service proposed is a substantial variation or development in service and requires a statutory 3 month consultation.
- Level 4 – the proposed change in service is a significant change in service but the decision has been made at a national level and therefore local consultation on whether the change should be implemented is not necessary. However the Committee may expect the local NHS organisations to demonstrate that patients and the public will be involved in how the change will be implemented.

5.3 Members are asked to consider the framework outlined above and agree if discussions should be held with the PCT to apply this framework locally and also to agree a joint framework with Shropshire Council through the Joint Health Scrutiny Committee

6.0 EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES

6.1 Members would consider the impact of proposed NHS service changes across communities and for minority groups.

7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

There are no environmental impacts directly arising as a result of this report.

8.0 LEGAL COMMENT

8.1 The legal comment is contained within the main body of the report which sets out the remit of the committee and the requirements that need to be complied with when agreeing the consultation framework.

9.0 LINKS WITH CORPORATE PRIORITIES

9.1 Proposed changes to NHS services may have an impact on a number of corporate priorities but the main connection will be through Adult Care and Support.

10.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10.1 There are no financial implications for the Council arising directly from this report.

11.0 OPPORTUNITIES AND RISKS

11.1 Health Scrutiny has real influence on the services for local people. This is most effective when Committees take a constructive but challenging approach to the role.

12.0 WARD IMPLICATIONS

12.0 Borough Wide.

Report prepared by Fiona Bottrill, Scrutiny Manager 01952 383113

TELFORD & WREKIN COUNCIL

**ACTIVE LIFESTYLES, LEISURE AND CULTURE SCRUTINY
COMMITTEE– 8 SEPTEMBER 2010**

BACKGROUND PAPER ON SERVICE REVIEWS

**REPORT OF CORPORATE DIRECTOR ENVIRONMENT AND RURAL
AREA / HOUSING, REGENERATION AND PROSPERITY**

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To inform Members of the service reviews taking place within the Council in line with the One Council Vision.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 Members note the briefing and take account of the service reviews when planning the committee's work programme.**

3.0 PREVIOUS MINUTES

- 3.1 N/A

4.0 UPDATE ON SERVICE REVIEWS

- 4.1 A programme of service reviews is currently being undertaken across all service delivery units within the Council. The reviews will look at how services are managed and operated to identify ways in which services can be delivered to the community more efficiently and effectively, within the resources available. The reviews need to produce operational (non-staff) savings of 20% and are likely to lead to restructuring.
- 4.2 A Service Review Steering Group has been set up to oversee the programme and to monitor the progress of each service delivery unit, including information on changes in activity and savings. The lead Corporate Director for this work is Meredith Evans.
- 4.3 Table 1 below provides information on the timetable for service reviews taking place across the Council.

Table 1: Timetable for service Reviews and restructures

Service Delivery Unit	Service Review Position
Adult Social Care (Delivery)	3 service review underway for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children and Adult Social Care –led by Paul Clifford • Team around the family – led by Richard Partington • Education service and supports to schools – led by Richard Partington Outcomes of review to be reported to the Steering Group in Dec 2010
Adult Social Care (Commissioning)	
Safeguarding & Corporate Parenting	
Early Intervention Children & Young People	
Learning & Achievement	
Environmental Services	External review completed for Transport and Environmental Maintenance. Internal review continues to be reported to the Steering Group by end October 2010.
Property & Design	External review completed for Catering and Cleaning. Internal review continues to be reported to the Steering Group by end October 2010.
Planning	External review completed for Planning. Internal review continues to be reported to the Steering Group by end October 2010.
Economic Development	External review completed for Lifelong Learning. Internal review continues to be reported to the Steering Group by end October 2010.
ICT & Procurement	Internal reviews underway to be reported to the Steering Group by end October 2010.
Assistant Chief Executive – Organisational Improvement	
Community Protection	
Governance	
Customer & Leisure Services	
Finance	

4.4 The Steering Group ensures a consistent approach across the Council applying the following project objectives:

- Put in place Governance arrangements to take decisions, review progress and provide advice
- Put in place supportive and co-ordinated framework for HoS
- Ensure the release of cash savings and the timetable for managing this

- Make the link to restructuring, new ways of working (accommodation) and ensure appropriate level of engagement and consultation
 - Ensure that council resource is used effectively and appropriately to carry out service reviews
- 4.5 Reviews should produce cashable efficiency savings aligned to our budget position and corporate priorities. Regardless of whether restructuring is planned **all** service areas will be expected to identify savings in line with corporate targets.
- 4.6 All reviews will be expected to demonstrate how they have taken into account issues/suggestions arising from the engagement session carried out in their area.

Briefing prepared by Debbie Germany, Organisational Improvement Manager, 01952 384065

TELFORD & WREKIN COUNCIL

**ACTIVE LIFESTYLES, LEISURE AND CULTURE SCRUTINY
COMMITTEE– 8 SEPTEMBER 2010**

2010/11 WORK PROGRAMME

REPORT OF SCRUTINY MANAGER

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To enable Members to plan the work programme for the Active Lifestyle Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 Members agree the issues the Active Lifestyle Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee will scrutinise during 2010/11 and the method of Scrutiny that will be used.**

3.0 PREVIOUS MINUTES

- 3.1 N/A

4.0 BACKGROUND

- 4.1 The Scrutiny arrangements established in June 2010 enable each Scrutiny Committee to determine its own work programme within the resources available. To ensure that the Scrutiny work programme is a Member led process and the work is balanced between Scrutiny Reviews and the Scrutiny Committees the work programme is planned through 3 stages:
- 4.2 Stage 1 - Potential issues for Scrutiny were identified either by:
- The outstanding work of the Scrutiny Leadership Board and its Sub Groups
 - The Scrutiny Assembly at the meeting on the 29th July 2010
- 4.3 Stage 2 - The Scrutiny Chairman's Forum agreed
- The topics for in depth reviews:
 - Budget formulation - suggestions about how to save money

- Working with community groups to help improve the local environment
- Affordable, available and flexible childcare
- Each committee would scrutinise the relevant aspect of the budget proposals for 2011/12 during the budget consultation process. The comments from each committee will be reported to the Community Focussed and Efficient Council Scrutiny Committee which will respond to the Budget consultation.
- The allocation of supported Scrutiny meetings across the 7 Scrutiny Committees

4.4 Stage 3

Each Scrutiny Committee agrees its own work programme within the resources available.

5.0 **SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MEETINGS**

5.1 The Scrutiny Handbook sets out that there will be a total of approximately 80 Scrutiny meetings during the year and the Constitution states that each Scrutiny Committee will have a minimum of 2 formal meetings per year. In recognition of the fact that some committees (Active Lifestyles: Leisure and Culture, Children and Young People and Community Focussed and Efficient Council) have statutory roles and additional work the Scrutiny Chairmen allocated these committees 3 additional meetings. The additional work of the Active Lifestyles Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee includes the statutory health scrutiny role for NHS services for the people of Telford and Wrekin which includes the work of the Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee with Shropshire Council. The three additional meetings will cover the issues:

- Modernising Mental Health (The redevelopment of Shelton Hospital and provision of community mental health services)
- Developing Health and Healthcare (Acute services at the Princes Hospital and Royal Shrewsbury Hospital)
- Foundation Trust applications of Shrewsbury and Telford Hospitals NHS Trust and the West Midlands Ambulance Service
- Specialist Cancer Services
- NHS White Paper (including GP Commissioning)

5.2 Each Committee will also hold a meeting to scrutinise the budget proposals. The remaining meeting were allocated between the committees, 2 meetings were allocated to committees that did not have Scrutiny Review and 1 meeting was allocated to the Committees with a Scrutiny Review. The number of meetings per Committee is shown in the Table 1 below.

Table 1: Number of Meetings Per Scrutiny Committee

Scrutiny Committee	Core	Budget	Additional	Other	Total	Free Meetings
ALLC	2	1	3 (health)	2	8	3
ACS	2	1		2	5	3
CYP*	2	1	3 (corporate parenting)	1	7	2
CPC	2	1		2	5	3
CFEC*	2	1	3 (budget)	1	7	2
E&RA*	2	1		1	4	2
HR&P	2	1		2	5	3

* Scrutiny Committees with a Review during 2010/11

5.3 The final column 'Free Meetings' indicates the number of meetings the Scrutiny Team can support for each committee (this does not include the September Committee Meetings). The Active Lifestyle, Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee has 3 meetings to scrutinise non statutory issues during 2010/11.

5.4 The Scrutiny Handbook sets out a range of methods that Members may wish to use to undertake the work of the Committee:

- **Formal Committee Meeting**
The Members of the Committee receive reports on several issues as agenda items at a Committee meeting.
- **Special Interest Meeting**
One meeting to consider one issue in more depth. It is important that the focus of this meeting is scoped carefully to ensure it can be covered in a single meeting.
- **Spot Light Review**
This process for a spot light review is the same as an in-depth review but the evidence gathering takes place in one meetings. The review should require 3 meetings in total.

5.5 Where 2 Scrutiny Committees have an interest in an issue Members may decide to hold a joint meeting between two Committees.

6.0 ISSUES FOR SCRUTINY

6.1 The issues that have been identified as potential areas for scrutiny by the Active Lifestyle Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee are set out in Appendices A and B.

- 6.2 Members will have received a copy of the Forward Plan for September to December 2010 and may wish to refer to this to cross reference any issues. The Standing Item that relates to the Scrutiny Committees priority area is Telford Town Park 'Parks for People' Project. The item in the forward plan for September 2010 is the Telford Town Park Designation and Boundary.

The link to the Forward Plan on the Council's website is:

http://www.telford.gov.uk/site/scripts/download_info.aspx?fileID=1238&categoryID=354

7.0 EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES

- 7.1 Members will consider the equal opportunities implications of the issues identified for further scrutiny.

8.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

- 8.1 There are no environmental impacts directly arising as a result of this report.

9.0 LEGAL COMMENT

- 9.1 The Scrutiny process has been established by the Local Government Act 2000 (as amended) and supplemented by associated legislation and guidance. Reference to the statutory requirements for the scrutiny process are referred to at paragraph 5.1 of this report. The areas to be reviewed that are defined as statutory are identified at Appendix A of this report. The committee must ensure that when undertaking the scrutiny function the statutory requirements are complied with.

10.0 LINKS WITH CORPORATE PRIORITIES

- 10.1 The work programme of this Scrutiny Committee relates to the relevant Council priority area. When identifying issues for Scrutiny Members of the Scrutiny assembly received the Priority Plans and performance update information.

11.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 There are no financial implications for the Council arising directly from this report.

12.0 OPPORTUNITIES AND RISKS

12.1 The work of the Scrutiny Committees is a major opportunity for Members and Co-optees to influence the work and policy of the Council and partner organisations. In order to manage the Scrutiny work load effectively Members should identify the issues where Scrutiny can add the most value. Identifying too many issues for the Committee work programme will mean some issues will not be completed with the agreed timescales.

13.0 WARD IMPLICATIONS

13.1 Borough Wide

Report prepared by Fiona Bottrill, Scrutiny Manager 01952 383113

Scrutiny Issues from Scrutiny Assembly 29th July 2010
Active Lifestyles Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee

Priority	What would you like scrutiny to look at?	Why should scrutiny look at this issue?	Votes
A	Leisure activities for older people	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Somewhere for older people to meet; eg: bowling clubs/facilities • The importance of physical activity in the health and well-being of older people; eg tai chi helps to promote better balance • Opportunities for partnership working • Use of existing facilities – could they be better used? 	0
A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Signage and promotion of leisure facilities and physical activities in the Borough • Review of marketing and promotional opportunities to ensure the active lifestyle offer is being communicated effectively and widely 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to improve uptake of facilities • Encourage more exercise and physical activity • Make information available in GPs surgeries, schools and many other places • Capacity of leisure centres • To review how effective the campaigns to promote active lifestyles actually are 	0
A	Provision of exercise equipment in public parks and open spaces	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To look at feasibility of such provision • To improve informal activity/exercise opportunities for local people 	2
A	Cost effectiveness of the campaigns to promote active lifestyles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether outcomes are properly monitored / measured • Ensuring scarce resources are better targeted 	6
A	Review of the schools curriculum to understand how	The amount of physical activity undertaken by	4

	much sport/swimming/physical activity is being provided in our schools and how we might enhance the level of provision working alongside the schools to support them	children in schools should be examined and ways considered how we can increase the level of physical activity	
B	A review of the events programme following the withdrawal of a number of grants and funding streams which will have an impact on our events programme from 2011 onwards	To review the effect of budgetary reductions on the Council events programme	1
B	Cycle paths / routes / bridle paths / walking groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve safety for cyclists • Promoting their use and ensuring proper signage • Cheap and effective way to provide opportunities for exercise 	1
B	Allotments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthy eating • More promotion and identify any new sites • Community involvement e.g. Dawley Bank 	1
Statutory	West Midlands Ambulance Service Foundation Trust Status Application	The Scrutiny Committee will ensure that the services provided by the Trust are accountable to local people along with the Foundation Trust Members and Governors	n/a
Statutory	Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital Trust Foundation Trust Status Application	It is Government policy that all acute Trusts should become Foundation Trusts. The Scrutiny Committee will ensure that the services provided by the Trust are accountable to local people along with the Foundation Trust Members and Governors	n/a
Statutory	Modernising Mental Health Services - – the future provision of mental health services in Telford and Wrekin	This has been a major area of work undertaken by the Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny	n/a

	and Shropshire. This involves the services provided by the South Staffordshire Mental Health Foundation Trust that are commissioned by Telford and Wrekin PCT and Shropshire PCT.	Committee with Shropshire. The Active Lifestyles, Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee has a statutory role in consultation on substantial changes to NHS services. The role of the committee is to ensure that the consultation on the services changes is adequate and the decision reached is in the best interest of local people and the local health service.	
Statutory	Developing Health and Healthcare – the future provision of acute health services in Telford and Wrekin and Shropshire. This involves the services provided by the Shrewsbury and Telford Hospitals Trust that are commissioned by Telford and Wrekin PCT and Shropshire PCT.	This has been a major area of work undertaken by the Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee with Shropshire. The Active Lifestyles, Leisure and Culture Scrutiny Committee has a statutory role in consultation on substantial changes to NHS services. The role of the committee is to ensure that the consultation on the services changes is adequate and the decision reached in the best interest of local people and the local health service.	n/a
Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health White Paper – the White Paper proposes radical changes to the structure of the NHS. • Impact that the abolition of the PCT will have on the PRH 	The proposed changes to NHS structures aim to improve the efficiency of the NHS and improve local services. The Scrutiny Committee will maintain an overview of these changes and consider the impact of the changes on the Council. Elements of this work could be undertaken jointly with the Adult Care and Support Scrutiny Committee.	n/a

		The White Paper also proposes to remove the Health Scrutiny powers of Local Authorities. The Scrutiny Committee will work to ensure that effective accountability and monitoring mechanisms are in place.	
Statutory	Cancer Surgical Services – In order to meet the requirements of the National Cancer Strategy there are proposals to look at the future provision of specialist cancer surgery. This would affect a small number of patient and services before surgery and after surgery would continue to be provided locally. This involves the services provided by the Shrewsbury and Telford Hospitals Trust that are commissioned by Telford and Wrekin PCT and Shropshire PCT.	The provision of cancer services is an emotive subject and it is important to ensure that the people of Telford and Wrekin receive the best possible care. The Scrutiny Committee may work jointly with Shropshire Council to consider the level of consultation required for any proposed service changes.	n/a
	Ambulance Service (NHS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The travel time between Newport and PRH could be halved if a dedicated ambulance to Newport was implemented with a manned base in the town. As the nearest ambulance station of Donnington the despatch of an ambulance in an emergency scenario extends the travel time to PRH as the ambulance takes a direction to Newport that is opposite that of the PRH. • Concern that lives could be unnecessarily lost due to protracted travel time of an ambulance to PRH. • Despite First responders being active in 	n/a

		<p>the area their remit is limited and the basing of a permanent resource could prevent possible loss of life due to extended travel times of ambulances from Donnington to Newport then PRH. In addition cost of fuel and impact on the environment could be reduced if a permanent ambulance was based in Newport/ Church Aston.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Outstanding Items from Health Scrutiny Monitoring Group Forward Plan

Suggested Scrutiny Committee	From	Topic	Suggested review date	Suggested method of Scrutiny – to be decided by Scrutiny Committees
Active Lifestyle. Leisure and Culture	Health Scrutiny Monitoring Group	PCT Strategic Plan and Joint Strategic Needs Assessment – consider and identify issues for Scrutiny work programme 2010-11	To be confirmed	Agenda item at Committee meeting. Simon Conolly Catherine Woodward Claire Old
Active Lifestyle. Leisure and Culture Active Lifestyles Environment & Rural Area	Health Scrutiny Monitoring Group	Transport planning to support the development of healthy communities	Deferred from May 2010	Agenda item at Committee meeting
Active Lifestyle. Leisure and Culture	Joint Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee	Update on Shelton Re-development with South Staffordshire and Shropshire Mental Health Foundation Trust.	October 2010	Committee meeting
Active Lifestyle. Leisure and Culture	Joint Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee	Scrutiny of Developing Health and Healthcare and Modernising Mental Health Services	July 2010 onwards	Committee meetings